Date:	Secondary	Jordan School District IEP y Specific Learning Disability Lin		<u>Initial</u> <u>Re-Evaluation</u>
Student Name:		School:		Grade Level:
Primary Area of Concern Based o	on Test Results:		Student Observation in	Area of Concern? Yes:
This student is an English Language	Learner (EL) and receives ESL servi	ces. The ALS Department has bee	n contacted? Yes: N/A:	
Other Factors to Consider: Grades/G	PA; Attendance; Suspensions; Beha	vior; Home School; Determination	of Eligibility Considerations, Ou	ıtside Data, etc.
Classification of students in Special Educ with the classification of Specific Learnin		m is intended to assist the team during	the problem-solving process in de	termining whether or not the student is eligib
REQUIRED First Line of Evidence	:			
General Education Data: (Use only score in Area of Concern) Comparison to General Education Peers		Formal Assessment Results	Initial Intervention Data	Progress Monitoring Data
LA Benchmark:	Math Benchmark:	Cognitive Score:	(Must Attach Graph)	(Must Attach Graph)
Date: Student Score:	Date: Student Score:	Date: Test:	Goal line:	Goal line:
Expected Score: And or SRI:	Expected Score: Other Assessment:	SS:	Rate of Progress:	Rate of Progress:
Date: Student Lexile:	Date: Student Level:	Achievement Score: Date:	Strong Progress	Strong Progress
Expected Level:	Expected Level:	Test:		
Other Assessments and/or Running	Writing Benchmark:	Cluster:	Moderate Progress	Moderate Progress
Records: Measure (Name):	Date: Student Score:	SS:	Some Progress	Some Progress
Date:	Expected Score:		_	
Student Level:	Other Assessment and/or Utah		Little or No Progress	Little or No Progress
Expected Level:	Compose:			
	Measure (Name);			
	Date:			
	Student Level: Expected Level:			
A review of the student's academic rec		he intervention data collected indicat	tes that the student is an annronri	ate candidate for special education
services under the classification of Spe		NO	tes that the student is an appropri	
REQUIRED Second Line of Eviden	ce (Must Attach Discrepancy Rep	ort):		
Utah Estimator:	% Is not a sta	tistically significant discrepancy:	< 92% <u>Is</u> a statistically si	ignificant discrepancy: ≥93%
Based on the results of the Utah Es academic functioning.	stimator, there IS IS NOT	a significant discrepancy between	the student's performance on	measures of cognitive and
REQUIRED 3 rd Line of Evidence: (Summarize the rationale for det	ermining eligibility using 1 st and	d 2 nd Line of Evidence):	