MANIFESTATION DETERMINATION

Name: Date of MD Meeting:		_ School:							
		_ Date of Incident:							
)ate c	of Decision to Suspend ≥ 10 Days:								
ehav	vior prompting suspension:								
. A	PPROPRIATENESS OF PROGRAM:								
Α	A. Current Classification:								
	Source(s) of information:								
в	B. Pre-referral (Behavior noted as area of co				□NA				
D	,	,							
		If yes, date of Pre-referral:Concerns noted:							
	Source(s) of information:								
C	C. Referral: (Behavior prompting suspensi	ion noted as conce	rn at referral	?)	□ YES	□NO			
	If yes, date of Referral:	Conc	erns noted: _						
	Source(s) of information:								
Г	D. Evaluation: Date of last evaluation:	Eval	uation (< 3 y	vears)?:	□ YES	□NO			
Ľ	1. Does existing evaluation address of			,	YES	□NO			
	IF NO, Additional evaluation is neede	d in the following	area(s):	Complete Eva	luation				
	□ Intellectual Development	□Academic	Achievement		□Commu	nication			
	□Adaptive/Social/Behavioral	□ Other:							
	Date of completion:	_ Person Respon	sible:		_				
	Source(s) of information:								
F	E. IEP: Date of last IEP:Is IEP cur		□ YES		NO	□N/A			
	a. Is IEP in compliance?		□ YES		NO	□N/A			
	b. Have services consistent with the IEP be	en provided?	□ YES		NO	□N/A			
	If no, explain:								
	1110, explain.								
		HED2							
	c. Are behavioral goals included on the			□ YES					
	c. Are behavioral goals included on the If yes, do they address the behavior su				□NO □NO	□N/A			
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	bject to disciplinary				□N/A			
F	If yes, do they address the behavior su Source(s) of information:	bject to disciplinary			□NO	□N/A			
F	If yes, do they address the behavior su Source(s) of information:	bject to disciplinary	v action? □YE	ΣS	□NO //A	□N/A			
F	If yes, do they address the behavior su Source(s) of information: Placement: Current permission to place	bject to disciplinary e in evidence? t student needs?	v action? □YE	es DNO DN	□NO //A	□N/A			
	If yes, do they address the behavior su Source(s) of information: Placement: Current permission to place Current placement appropriate to meet	bject to disciplinary e in evidence? t student needs?	v action? □YE □YES □YES		□NO //A //A				
	If yes, do they address the behavior su Source(s) of information: Placement: Current permission to place Current placement appropriate to meet Source(s) of information: G. Summary: (a., b., & c. must be marked '	bject to disciplinary e in evidence? t student needs? "YES" to determine	action? □YE □YES □ YES that the beha		□NO //A //A				
	 If yes, do they address the behavior su Source(s) of information:	bject to disciplinary e in evidence? t student needs? "YES" to determine	action? □YE		□NO //A //A				

II. CONDUCT DIRECTLY/SUBSTANTIALLY RELATED TO OR CAUSED BY DISABILITY:

A. Anecdotal Records: Is there a record of behavior subject to discipline? \Box YES \Box NO \Box N/A

If YES, note time period and setting where behavior occurred: _____

B.	Was	the behavior in question noted:					
	1.	When the student was referred for evaluation?	□ YES	□NO			
	2.	In the evaluation summary?	□ YES	□ NO			
	3.	Addressed in the IEP?	□ YES	□ NO			
C.	Has	the behavior been exhibited across settings and times?	□ YES	□NO			
	Sources of information:						
D.	Is the conduct a recognized diagnostic feature or associated feature of the student's						
	disability? 🛛 YES 🖾 NO						
	Source(s) of information (i.e., DSM-V-TR):						
E.	Find	Finding: Based on consideration of A-D above, it is the consensus of the IEP Team that the					
	behavior in question WAS \square WAS NOT \square directly/substantially related to or caused by the						
	student's disability.						
	Rati	onale:					

III. MANIFESTATION STATEMENT:

In order to make a "No Manifestation" determination the team must find:

- 1. The behavior in question WAS NOT a direct result of a failure to implement the student's IEP; and
- 2. The behavior in question WAS NOT directly/substantially related to or caused by the student's disability.

It is the consensus of the IEP Team that the conduct WAS □ WAS NOT □ a manifestation of the student's disability.

If it was determined that the conduct WAS a manifestation of the student's disability, proceed to the ACTIONS section of this form.

If it was determined that the conduct WAS NOT a manifestation of the student's disability, proceed to the Prior Written Notice and Signature section of this form.

IV. ACTIONS

- □ NOT Needed per the determination above
- □ Needed per the determination above

If the answer to section 1 above is "WAS" a direct result of a failure to implement the IEP, describe the immediate steps that are being taken, or will be taken, to remedy the LEA's failure to implement the IEP, including the BIP, when applicable:

If the answer in section 1 and/or section 2 above is "WAS", the IEP team must take one of the following Actions:

□ Action 1: If the LEA **had not** conducted a functional behavior assessment (FBA) before the conduct In question occurred, the LEA must conduct an FBA and implement a BIP for the student.

□ Action 2: If the LEA **had** conducted an FBA and developed a BIP before the conduct in question occurred, the IEP team must review the BIP that was already developed, and modify it, as necessary, to address the behavior.

In addition to taking one of the actions above, unless the misconduct falls under the definition of special circumstances in USBE Rules V.E.5., return the student to the placement from which the student was removed, unless the parent or adult student and the LEA agree to a change of placement as part of the modification of the BIP.

In cases of special circumstances, review USBE Rules V.E.5., and determine how the LEA will continue to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to the student.

The IEP team proposes to act on this decision.

The following action(s) was(were) proposed:

Actions were proposed for the following reasons (include data used as a basis for the action):

The following action(s) was(were) refused:

Actions were refused for the following reasons (include data used as a basis for the action):

Other options the team considered:

Options were rejected for the reasons (include data used as a basis for the action):

Prior Written Notice

Parents and students who are adults must be provided prior written notice (PWN) in language understandable to the general public in their native language or other mode of communication before the LEA proposes or refuses to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of your student/you, or the provision of a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to your student/you (USBE Rules IV.C.).

The Procedural Safeguards under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) afford you protection. If you do not have a copy, you may request one from the special education teacher. If you have any questions regarding this notice or the Procedural Safeguards, contact the principal or special education teacher.

Was a translator/interpreter provided to enable the parent(s)/student who is an adult to participate in the manifestation determination meeting?

- □ No, translator/interpreter not needed.
- □ Yes (translator/interpreter should sign below as a participant)
- □ Your native language or other mode of communication is not a written language, therefore:
 - □ The notice was translated orally or by other means in your native language or other mode of communication on [date]: ______ by[person]: ______

AND

□ Your verified with the translator/interpreter that you understand the content of this notice.

SIGNATURES BELOW DENOTE PARTICIPATION AND ACKNOWLDEGE RECEIPT OF PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS AND COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT

Parent/Student who is an Adult:		
	Date:	